

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

LOREN F. GRAVES,

Case No. 2:15-cv-00106-RFB-NJK

Plaintiff,

vs.

ORDER

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Defendant.

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 34) of the Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered March 29, 2016.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also* Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” *de novo* or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by April 15, 2016. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that (ECF No. 21) Plaintiff's Motion for Reversal and/or Remand is **GRANTED**.

The Clerk of Court is directed to serve a copy of this Order upon Parties.



2